



Vietnam: a case of military obsolescence in developing countries

Shang-su Wu

S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

ABSTRACT

Military obsolescence affects the capability of all militaries as it relates to serviceability and performance when countering potential opponents, and more specifically in the case of developing countries lacking strong indigenous defence industries. The gradual nature of this military concern has not been studied systematically, in contrast to military modernisation. This paper presents a synthetic framework composed of several indicators to examine military obsolescence. Vietnam has been selected for the application of the framework for its large number of Cold War legacies and the strategic pressure from China. Hanoi's ageing assets would undermine its position vis-à-vis Beijing, and its defence investment policies face the dilemma of choosing to spend more on naval and aerial power, or ameliorating its army which is technologically lacking compared to its Chinese counterpart.

KEYWORDS Military obsolescence; Vietnam; military modernisation; balance of forces

Introduction

In contrast to military modernisation, military obsolescence has equal, if not greater, potential to destabilise the regional situation. All militaries have to deal with the unpleasant reality that all equipment starts ageing from the first day of service, and ageing assets will eventually transform into obsolete ones without upgrades or replacement. Military obsolescence can affect war capacity, deterrence, defence diplomacy, operations other than war (OOTW), and even the safety of operators and other people. As military obsolescence weakens the balance of power between a state and its potential enemy, it also has impacts on defence diplomacy. Obsolete assets can pose technological obstructions for joint exercises, and reveal a state's poor defence management, thus potentially discouraging other countries from further cooperation. When operational readiness is questionable due to obsolescence, a military may fail to conduct OOTW well, and related accidents would pose threats to crews and nearby populations.

Such a situation becomes salient when potential enemies achieve better modernisation. An expanding gap in military capability between countries could undermine the stability, especially for developing countries relying predominantly on foreign sources,

of which Vietnam presents an example. Vietnam's military modernisation can be traced back to the Cold War. Although Hanoi received a lot of military supply, including sophisticated weapons, from Moscow and Beijing during the Vietnam War in order to counter the superior American military power, combat losses would have considerably eroded their capability. After the Vietnam War, the Soviet Union systematically supplied various military assets to Vietnam's three services, and many of them, such as BMP-2 infantry fighting vehicles (IFVs), were relatively advanced at the time. Hanoi also absorbed some American arms from its capture of Saigon (IISS, 1979, pp. 73–74; SIPRI, 2017). Simultaneously, related military doctrines were developed as well (Thayer, 2009, p. 5). Therefore, by the end of the Cold War, in technological terms, Vietnam's military had not fallen behind its neighbouring counterparts, including China (IISS 1992, pp. 145–147, 164–165).

In the post-Cold War era, limited financial capacity has constrained Hanoi's efforts in military modernisation. Vietnam's difficult economic transformation towards a market economy, along with the end of Soviet aid, restricted Hanoi's ability to afford military modernisation. As Hanoi pays attention to its maritime interests at present and Beijing expands its influence in the South China Sea, it is natural for the former to concentrate its limited investment in military modernisation on naval and aerial assets. Despite its significant military procurements in the last decade, Hanoi's arsenal is composed mainly of Cold War legacies, especially its land systems. In contrast, Beijing has striven for military modernisation since its open policy of the 1980s, meaning that the People's Liberation Army (PLA) has achieved technological superiority over its Vietnamese counterpart. As long as their intensive bilateral relations continue, the military imbalance between these two states may entice the stronger to use force against the weaker nation.

This paper will illustrate a synthetic framework for identifying military obsolescence, and analyse the case of Vietnam. It will contribute to the research on military modernisation by presenting a further perspective on the matter.

The synthetic framework for examining military obsolescence

Military obsolescence is usually neglected by academics and mass media that pay more attention to modernisation, including defence technology and procurement. It is reasonable for military build-ups to attract attention because technology within military acquisition usually refers to potential intention and capability to affect the status quo, and for the reason that technological progression has significantly shaped warfare (Heitman, 2004, pp. 3–16; Tan, 2014, pp. 8–14). In contrast, although assets already in service do not have an immediate impact, their ageing gradually erodes a country's military capability, and eventually the status quo. Since obsolescence can be attributed to a lack of modernisation, the reasons behind obsolescence can be explained with research on military modernisation. For example, Buzan and Herring's models of arms dynamics indicate that military obsolescence is likely to occur in less competitive subjects and/or subjects beyond the interests of indigenous defence industries (Buzan & Herring, 1998, pp. 81–118). Horowitz's conditions for diffusion of military technology suggest that military obsolescence could reflect constrained financial intensity or a lack of organisational capacity. A comparison of the costs between adaption and non-adaption as well as political decisions could also reveal various factors behind military obsolescence (Horowitz, 2010, pp. 9–34). Regarding the nature of military obsolescence, Thomas points out that a country's level of military obsolescence depends on its potential enemy's

capability. A country's military capability may become relatively obsolete due to more rapid modernisation measures undertaken by its potential enemy (Thomas, 1986, pp. 246–247). Uberoi (1989) also highlights obsolescence in a comparative way, but also notes the end of service life as another reason for replacement. Bitzinger (2010, p. 62) provides another dimension of obsolescence: replacement for safety. However, James notes that 'a 100-year-old rifle is as effective as a modern equivalent,' so it appears that the means of identifying obsolete assets and the extent of obsolescence have not been sufficiently discussed (James, 2016, p. 20). In sum, obsolescence can be defined as a serious state of ageing, whereby a specific arm cannot operate using its specific functions, whether due to poor operational readiness or inferior technological performance compared to that of potential opponents.

There is no universal standard of military obsolescence due to each country's unique internal and external conditions as well as to a lack of research, but some indicators are useful for most developing countries when examining their considerable dependence on foreign arms sources. As one of the peacetime functions of a military is to maintain existing capability in a required state of readiness, the indicators for examining obsolescence are classified into two groups: readiness and comparison (Markowski, Hall, & Wylie, 2010, p. 26).

Readiness is the precondition for all armed forces both for warfare and OOTW: the related indicators are upgrade, service number, and external logistical availability. In fact, these three indicators would not provide precise information on the impact of obsolescence on readiness, but they are publicly available for research and analysis. Actual logistical data, on the other hand, such as readiness and operational costs, would be valuable for analysis but are classified. Upgrade refers to the rejuvenation of assets and indicates an investment in the extension of asset lifespan. Due to the generally weak industrial foundation of developing countries, foreign parts such as engines and radars are usually essential in upgrade projects to extend service life, and in most cases, such international transfers are systematically recorded in the database of the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (SIPRI, 2017). Service numbers of assets, in contrast to numbers of introduction, would signify overall maintenance during peacetime, and the annual *Military Balance* of the International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) provides valuable data for research. Dramatically decreasing numbers would mean potential difficulties in keeping assets in service and subsequently undermine specific capabilities.

Due to limited indigenous industrial capacity, external logistical availability is usually crucial for developing countries, from both manufactures and overseas users. When a model of a military asset is still under production, its logistical support is likely to be available from its manufacturer, given sufficient financial affordability and no sanctions in force. The end of production does not however definitively terminate the logistical supply, and numbers of overseas users would suggest two means of availability. As some users may sell the models of the assets and their parts, a number of users may form a market for non-original arms manufacturers to provide logistical support. If the number of users is too small, it is unlikely that both approaches would exist, and thus logistical challenges appear. In addition, when a particular weapon model is listed in many countries, particularly in its original country, it suggests that those users generally accept its performance and operational costs, so that they have both the willingness and capability to retain that model. In short, the popularity of assets refers to a common view of obsolescence and availability of logistic support.

Since the military does not operate in a vacuum, it is necessary to define military obsolescence by means of a comparison between the military and its potential challenges, whether internal or external. Although insurgencies usually have inferior military capability, various cases, such as the man-portable systems (MANPADS) in Afghanistan in the 1980s and improvised explosive devices (IED) in Iraq in the 2000s, demonstrate the possibility of technological challenges from insurgencies (Moulton, 2009; Phillips, 2011). Three indicators, technological performance, mixed services and geography are applied to the framework for comparison of their specific capabilities.

The technological performance of a country in contrast to its enemy, using both symmetrical and asymmetrical means, is essential for achieving missions as well as for survival. Among the various military assets, the technological performance of the major combat systems is the most decisive aspect, comprising the capabilities of fighters in the air, major surface combatants at sea, and artillery and armour on land. The three capabilities vis-à-vis the counterparts of a potential enemy would determine the overall strategic situation, whether offensive or defensive, control or denial oriented. If a state's fighters fail to secure air superiority, the roles of other air force entities are likely to become difficult, if not impossible. By the same token, once major surface combatants are unable to establish sea control by effectively dealing with aerial surface and underwater threats, most naval operations, such as minesweeping and landing, also become unfeasible. Armies with inferior main battle tanks (MBTs) and artillery have to give up on a number of operational options, particularly offensive ones. Thus, the crucial capabilities respectively have an overall effect on the entirety of a state's forces, and provide indications as to impact of obsolescence on other capabilities. For instance, a navy with vulnerable major surface combatants would not be capable of carrying out amphibious operations during wartime, with the consequence that its outdated landing ships would become strategically irrelevant.

Regarding air superiority, the generations of fighters and their assisting capabilities, such as aerial warning and command systems (AWACS) and aerial refuelling, are the key subjects for military obsolescence (Creveld, 2011, pp. 198–204). If air superiority is out of the question, Surface-to-air missiles (SAMs) and flaks can counter a hostile airpower in a relatively passive way. Therefore, a comparison between air defence and an opponent's airpower will be the focus of examination. Given the probability of securing air superiority, other aerial elements, such as ground attack and airlift, will be the main subjects for scrutiny. Since most insurgencies do not have fighters, the main challenge for counterinsurgencies (COIN) would be readiness and capacity for ground attack, airlift and surveillance, all less relevant with technological performance. However, the use of aircraft designed specifically for COIN purposes, such as light attackers, would lower the operational costs and make the operation more sustainable (Axe, 2016).

Although the generations among major surface combatants are not as clearly defined as those of fighters, their defence capabilities against aerial, surface and underwater threats provide a useful factor in relation to technological performance. Ideally, major surface combatants are supposed to have several layers of air/missile defence comprising long-ranged (more than 100 km) or short-ranged SAMs, point defence SAMs (less than 10 km) and/or close-in weapon system (CIWS) in addition to electronic countermeasures (ECMs). In fact, many ships are only equipped with partial or no capability for air/missile defence, thus making information on the different levels of such technological performance a useful reference for the survivability of ships. To deal with underwater threats from submarines requires anti-submarine warfare (ASW) capability, which

is conducted with three kinds of equipment on board, ASW helicopters, variable depth sonar, and towed array sonars. Of course, other ASW supports, such as fixed winged ASW aircraft, would help to moderate threats from submarines (Ireland & Grove, 1997, pp. 214–224). It must be noted that these weapon systems would improve the gambit of naval warfare, but do not guarantee victory in real operations. A comparison between major surface combatants vis-à-vis the threats of Anti-ship cruise missiles (ASCMs) and torpedoes determines a state's opportunity for securing sea control. If the gambit is not optimistic, the obsolescence of capabilities attached to sea control becomes less important, and the focus of obsolescence will be shifted to assets for sea denial. As for COIN, except for extreme cases such as that of Hezbollah operating ASCMs, most insurgencies would lack the sophisticated capability to challenge surface vessels (Mazzetti & Shanker, 2006). Therefore, technology would not matter, but capacity and readiness, especially for patrol and amphibious operations, would be important for internal or unconventional security challenges regarding naval obsolescence.

For armies, the technological performance of armour and artillery capabilities is salient for comparisons to determine the appropriate forms of land warfare. The different generations of MBTs refer to their respective levels of firepower, protection and mobility. MBT protection determines their survival in the face of not only opponent counterparts, but also anti-tank guided missiles (ATGM), a significant asymmetric countermeasure. Despite lack of defined generations, artillery systems have significantly improved their ranges and mobility, thus making previous models inferior in engagement. Other major military assets on land, such as armoured personnel carriers (APCs), IFVs and reconnaissance vehicles, are not suitable for direct comparison with their adversary counterparts, because they are usually integrated with MBTs for mechanised operations. If MBTs are unable to function as armour spears, reconnaissance vehicles, APCs and IFVs, are unlikely to be used for offensive purposes, but might only provide transportation, light fire support and be used in anti-tank missions if properly armed. Anti-tank capability is a crucial capability for defence, because significantly neutralising an enemy's armour units can stop or even repulse the enemy, as evidenced in Egypt's operation in the initial stage of the Yom Kippur War (Bar-Joseph, 2009). In a counterinsurgency situation, the requirement for protection of MBTs, APCs, IFVs and other armed vehicles would depend on the level of firepower the insurgent forces possess.

Mixed services using new and old models demonstrate a range of influence in terms of military obsolescence in inter-state warfare. Owing to limited budgets, it is rare for a country to be able to afford constant renewal to supply all its armed forces with the latest equipment, with the result that mixed services are inevitable. This means that old assets can be used for secondary missions, such as defending flanks and training, thus leaving the newer equipment for more important operations. However, the extent of obsolescence varies according to the proportion of old to new models. The impact of mixed services is also connected to the overall balance of forces. For a state having a generally equivalent or superior military capability to its opponents, mixed services would have less of a strategic effect because there would be some surplus space for old models. In contrast, mixed services would of greater concern for a state in an inferior position vis-à-vis its potential enemy, because most of its forces would be mobilised during wartime and a proportion of old models could erode their defence capability.

Warfare does not occur in a vacuum, but in a real geographic environment, which also has an effect on military obsolescence. The lines between land and sea set direct boundaries between navies and armies along with applicable operations and military

structure, thus also influencing military obsolescence. For an island state, its army's obsolescence would be less serious than its navy's, because its enemy has to cross the waters first. In contrast, the obsolescence of an army would be more important than that of a navy for a continental state. Terrain determines potential means of land warfare, and consequently favours offence or defence. In a defence-favourable terrain, an army with weak armour would expose its weakness less than in an offence-favourable environment. Although airpower is generally free from geographic limitation, its deployment and application are inevitably attached to geographic conditions. As a result, geographic factors must be considered when reviewing military obsolescence.

Both categories of indicators are applicable for Vietnam because it has an arsenal filled with Cold War legacies and strategic pressure from China, a potential opponent undertaking rapid military modernisation. All indicators are needed to accommodate discussion and understanding of Vietnam's military obsolescence as well as its strategic influence. It must be noted that the analysis of Vietnam's military obsolescence is constrained by the available public sources, mainly *Military Balance* and the SIPRI Database. Estimation is therefore conducted in a relatively conservative manner.

The case of Vietnam

Land systems

After Moscow severed military aid, the Vietnamese People's Army (VPA) made barely any major acquisitions with the result that its arsenal resembles a 'time capsule' of the Cold War. These legacies may present serious challenges to readiness and leave them technologically inferior to their Chinese counterparts.

The Vietnamese armour units, totalling up to 1270 in number, are composed of T-62, T-55/54, and type-59 MBTs introduced in the 1970s. There is recent news of a possible deal with Russia for T-90 MBTs, but as yet it has not been finalised (Sputnik, 2016). Among the large number of MBTs, around 300 T-55/54s are reported to have had comprehensive upgrades in the 2010s with better firepower, engines, surveillance and protection, although no records of such upgrades exist on the SIPRI Database (Army Recognition, 2012; Global Security, 2014b). The VPA armour units may have difficulty in maintaining ageing MBTs, indicated by the decrease in numbers of serviceable vehicles. For instances, 200 T-62s were introduced in 1978 and only 70 are still listed. The T-55/54s and type-59s with service throughout the Vietnam War are not clearly identified as to whether the gap between introduction and current numbers was caused by combat loss, logistical challenge or both. As there are considerable numbers of users retaining similar models of MBTs around the world, it would not be surprising for Vietnam to obtain overseas logistical support or even establish its own support facilities (IISS, 2017, pp. 150, 199–200, 368, 372). Although Beijing may not provide logistical supply for Hanoi's type-59, its similarity to the T-54 would shed light on maintenance (Foss, 2002, pp. 20–21). To this point, the VPA has the potential to keep the old MBTs operable, but this does not exclude other factors emerging to reduce their readiness.

Among the neighbouring armies, Laos and Cambodia also use similar types of MBTs in smaller numbers, but China's more advanced MBTs make the VPA tanks obsolete. T-62, T-55/54 and its Chinese replica, type-59, were designed before the Soviet Union development of modern MBTs, such as the T-64 and subsequent models from the 1960s (Koch, 1999, pp. 48–49). Despite the upgraded T-55AM3s, Vietnam's MBTs would still be

Table 1. Comparison of Chinese and Vietnamese MBTs.

Nationality	Types	Firepower: main gun	Mobility: power-to-weight rate	Armour
Vietnam	T-62	115 mm	14.5 hp/ton	Steel
	T-55AM3	105 mm	25 hp/ton (estimated)	Reactive
	T-55/54	100 mm	14.44 hp/ton	Steel
	Type-59	100 mm	14.44 hp/ton	Steel
China	Type-99	125 mm	27.77 hp/ton	Reactive with add-on module
	Type-98	125 mm	25 hp/ton	
	Type-96	125 mm	24 hp/ton	

seen as obsolete in terms of technological performance and the progress of a potential enemy. China has developed and deployed several generations of MBTs, from type-85 to later models (Global Security, 2014a; Turner, 2002, pp. 7–8). These Chinese MBTs are superior in firepower due to their larger main guns, mobility of higher weight–power ratios, and more sophisticated armour (Table 1) (Army Guide, 2015a; Fisher, 2016; Foss, 2002, pp. 20, 70, 72; Military Today, 2017a). ATGMs present an asymmetrical means for Hanoi to counter Beijing’s superior armoured forces, with recent cases proving the effectiveness of such missiles against advanced MBTs (RT News, 2016). However, the VPA’s anti-tank missiles are also Cold War legacies, 9M14Ms (AT-3) and 9M111s (AT-4), and may not penetrate the Chinese MBTs’ latest protection with a single hit. Furthermore, more than three decades after production, the readiness of those Soviet ATGMs could be questionable in Vietnam’s humid climate, due to ageing, especially in terms of electronic components and charges. Although there is no direct evidence to prove the lifespan of Vietnamese ATGMs, a South Korean example could provide a useful reference. Seoul procured thousands of ATGMs from both the US and Russia in the 1980s and 1990s, but they had already exceeded their lifespan in 2014 (The Chosunilbo, 2014; SIPRI, 2017). The VPA also possesses various recoilless guns, anti-tank guns and tank destroyers, mostly vintage from the Second World War, that would be effective for attack on low-grade armoured vehicles only (IISS, 2017, p. 338).

The VPA has a sizeable artillery force which could be classified into three groups: self-propelled guns, towed howitzers and multi-launch rockets (MLRs). Vietnam’s self-propelled guns are made up of a mixture of Soviet 2S1s (122 mm), 2S3s (152 mm) and American M-107s (175 mm), and, except for the 2S3s, data regarding their introduction, upgrade and current service is incomplete. Since the 2S1s and 2S3s are still used in the Russian services, it would not be difficult for Hanoi to obtain Moscow’s logistical support (IISS, 2017, pp. 33, 212, 215). The M-107, captured from South Vietnam, is a less certain prospect. Its Detroit 8V-71 diesel engines are available commercially, but factors such as the long-term US embargo, the 1980 end of production and the very small number of users could obstruct appropriate maintenance (Foss, 2002, pp. 486–487; IISS, 2017, pp. 167, 307, 377, 383; MTU, 2017). The VPA’s towed artillery systems consist of American M-101/102 105 mm, Chinese type-60 (based on the Soviet D-74), Soviet M-30 and D-30 122 mm, D-20 152 mm and American M-114 155 mm howitzers. These are all designs from the 1940s to the 1960s, and were introduced during the Vietnam War. The lack of details regarding current service numbers and losses during wartime means that there are no indicators for readiness, but their simple structure, lacking complicated power and/or electronic components, indicates the low logistical requirements for these towed guns (IISS, 2017, p. 339; Kindard, 2007, pp. 388, 402, 465, 471, 477–478). The VPA’s MLR systems, Chinese type-63s, Soviet BM-21s and BM-14s were introduced during the

Vietnam War, and their service numbers have been stable during the post-Cold War era, although the condition of the BM-14s is unknown (IISS, 2017, p. 339). Based on the simple tow design, the logistical challenges for the type-63 would not be high, and a number of overseas users may suggest external sources of logistics (Federation of American Scientists, 1999). As BM-21s are still listed in Russia as well as in other countries, logistical support would be accessible (IISS, 2017, pp. 212, 216). The BM-14 could be more problematic because of its old Zil-151 or 157 truck platforms and a limited number of operating states (Gurov, 2017; Hull, 1999, pp. 358–359, 361–362; Ware, 2014, pp. 75, 181).

The major challenge for the VPA artillery is presented by the superior Chinese counterparts, as with other neighbouring countries, Cambodia and Laos, who possess similar Cold War legacies in smaller numbers. The PLA improved the ranges of its self-propelled guns and MLRs to more than 40 km in the post-Cold War era, but its towed guns have been neglected in the investment and most models are based on Soviet designs with ranges similar to their Vietnamese counterparts. As the PLA modernise their artillery arsenals using their indigenous industry, numbers of new guns are sufficient to achieve superiority over the VPA. In contrast, the longest range of the VPA artillery is 32 km with the M-107. Although the introduction of rocket-propelled projectiles can extend the range of the M-107 to 40 km, its completely open cab is still vulnerable to artillery exchange (Foss, 2002, p. 434). The 2S1s and 2S3s are protected by armour, but their respectively short ranges of 15.2 and 17.3 km are inferior (Hull, 1999, pp. 315, 319). Despite the upgraded Russian 2S1 being available, its maximal range of about 20 km would not provide much improvement to the VPA's inferior status (Army Recognition, 2016). The inferior ranges of the VPA's towed howitzers, all less than 30 km, are exacerbated by their lack of mobility and protection (Table 2) (Army Guide, 2015b, 2015c; Foss, 2006, pp. 766, 911, 913; Global Security, 2013; IISS, 2017, pp. 280, 338–339; Military Today, 2017b). Although the VPA put M-101 howitzers on Ural 375D trucks to create self-propelled guns with better mobility, the problem of short ranges is not solved (Army Recognition, 2015). The VPA's MLR systems, the type-63s, BM-21s and BM-14s, are also weaker than those of their Chinese counterparts due to their shorter ranges, except

Table 2. Comparison of Chinese and Vietnamese artillery systems.

Nationality	Type	Classification	Calibre	Maximal range
Vietnam	M-107	Self-propelled gun	175 mm	32 km
	2S3		152 mm	18.5 km
	2S1	Towed howitzer	122 mm	15.3 km
	M-101		105 mm	11.2 km
	M-102			11.5 km
	Type-60		122 mm	24 km
	M-30			11.8 km
	D-30			15.29 km
	M-46		130 mm	27 km
	D-20		152 mm	17.4 km
	M-114	155 mm	14.6 km	
	Type-63	Towed MRL	107 mm	8.5 km
	BM-21	Self-propelled MRL	122 mm	20.5 km
	BM-24		240 mm	16.8 km
China	PLL-09	Self-propelled gun	122 mm	18–27 km
	PLZ-05		155 mm	53 km
	Type-83		152 mm	17.23 km
	Type-90	MRL	122 mm	30 km
	PHZ-89	MRL	122 mm	30 km
	PHL-03	MRL	300 mm	150 km

for the type-63 that is in service on both sides for its light weight and portability (Kindard, 2007, pp. 469–470, 477–478, 485, 488, 497).

In both small-scale armed conflicts and large-scale warfare, Vietnam's relatively outdated artillery force would put Hanoi in an unfavourable position vis-à-vis Beijing. In a Damansky/Zhenbao Island-style scenario of fire exchange, artillery units with shorter ranges would face more attack with less capacity to retaliate. If an armed conflict were to escalate to a PLA offensive, the VPA's inferior artillery would not suffice to support its weak MBTs and anti-tank firepower. Hanoi could strengthen the protection of artillery units through fortification of their positions, but fortified bunkers would be unable to compensate for the short ranges and could eventually be neutralised by China's airpower.

The VPA's other armoured vehicles, including light tanks, reconnaissance vehicles, APCs and IFVs, are Cold War legacies as well. Since the VPA's obsolete MBTs are unlikely to run a mobile operation for offence, the opportunity of using them for offensive operations would be limited. All the light tank models, the PT-76s, the type-63s and the type-62s, and the reconnaissance vehicles, BRDM-1s/BRDM-2s, were introduced during the Vietnam War. Their mobility, if not already considerably degenerated by time, may still allow them to carry out reconnaissance nowadays, and their service numbers have not been reduced during the post-Cold War era. Worldwide, however, they have gradually been phased out. Except for the BRDM-2 still serving popularly in its Russian home state, the other models owned by the VPA have already been phased out in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) and in Middle Eastern countries (IISS, 2017, pp. 199–233, 368–413, 429–478). In other words, maintenance on those ageing vehicles, especially the type-62s and type-63s of Chinese origin, will become increasingly difficult.

The VPA's IFVs and APCs of a large collection of various models can be divided into two groups: those introduced during the Vietnam War and those after it. The total numbers of the VPA's APCs and IFVs have been similar since the end of the Cold War, but the two groups would have different levels of obsolescence. The IFV and APC models acquired after 1975, the BMP-1, BMP-2, BTR-60 and M-113, are relatively new and still retained in service in their states of origin, Russia and the United States (US), as well as in numerous other countries. The older APC models, including the BTR-40, BTR-50, BTR-152 and the type-63, are not only retired in their original countries, but also have shrinking numbers of users around the world. During the development of Soviet IFVs and APCs, the BTR-40 and BTR-152 were succeeded by the BTR-60, as the BMP series replaced the BTR-50s. In parallel, the type-63 was replaced by the type-85 in China. Both the BTR-40 and BTR-152 with their open roof designs are now obsolete due to their lack of minimum protection from air busted artillery shells (Foss, 2002, pp. 134–135, 294–295, 386–387). Therefore, the second group of APCs has a higher level of obsolescence.

Since it is unlikely for Vietnam to take an offensive stance against China, the VPA's light tanks, reconnaissance vehicles, IFVs and APCs, which would constitute a land battle order, would not have an adequate stage. Mobile defence through tactical attacks is an option, but the VPA's vulnerable MBTs would imply limited opportunities only for use in such attacks. In other words, without improving Vietnamese MBTs, other armoured vehicles would mainly serve as transportation, light fire support and anti-tank missions.

Maritime systems

The Vietnam People's Army Navy (VPAN)'s obsolescence is significantly related to its strategic situation. Based on their current services in the Russian Navy and continuous

production, the Project 636 submarines, Project 11661 (Gepard 3) frigates and Project 1241 fast attack craft (FAC) would be seen as state-of-the-art and probably not technologically inferior to their Chinese counterparts (IISS, 2017, pp. 193, 213–214, 235; Frigate of 11661 project Gepard-3.9, 2017). However, a salient quantitative disadvantage basically shapes the strategic situation and related missions for all VAPAN capabilities. Gepard 3 frigates are equipped with double-layered air/missile defence system, Sosna-R SAMs with their 10 km maximal range and AK-630 CIWS, in addition to having ECM capability, as well as variable depth sonars plus 533 mm ASW torpedoes and RBU-6000 ASW rockets. The VAPAN's new frigates would thus have certain capabilities to deal with modern threats. However, according to the current plan, the VAPAN will have only six Gepards that will be far outnumbered by 31 Chinese surface combatants from the South Sea Fleet of the PLA Navy (PLAN), not to mention ASCMs from aircraft and submarines (IISS, 2017, pp. 287, 339, 350; Naval Technology, 2017; Nudelman Precision Engineering Design Bureau, 2017; SIPRI, 2017). Apart from the Gepard 3s, Vietnam's only major surface combatants are five Project 159 corvettes, introduced in the late 1970s and early 1980s. Although they are upgraded for ASW, their limited displacement filled with torpedo, gun turrets, ASW rockets and mortar would signify only marginal or no improvement in air/missile defence (IISS, 2017, pp. 268, 339; Russian Ships Info, 2017a). The lack of sufficient capacity in dealing with approaching ASCMs would lower their survivability in wartime. In other words, the VAPAN's Project 159 corvettes would have limited roles upon any escalation of armed conflict because of their technological vulnerability. In sum, sea denial would be the only feasible strategic option for the VAPAN.

Under the sea denial strategy, the FAC is a critical element for use in hit-and-run tactics, alongside the use of the new submarines. Besides the newly acquired Project 1241 FACs, Project 205 FACs acquired between 1979 and 1981 are unlikely to be identified as state-of-the-art, but common arms and mixed service could moderate their obsolescence. The AK-230 CIWS and the P-15 ASCMs, the main weapon systems on the Project 205 FACs, are also equipped on some Project 1241 FACs. The standard nature of the equipment and deals made between Hanoi and Moscow after the Cold War would manage the logistical challenges for retaining Project 205 FACs (IISS, 2017, p. 339; SIPRI, 2017). Furthermore, the newer and more advanced Project 1241 FACs would go some way to sharing the burden of operation to form a mixed service with old boats, which could be deployed for less challenging missions. However, it may be that the historical P-15 ASCMs with subsonic speed are easily intercepted by the PLAN, because its major surface combatants have several layers of air/missile defence. Moreover, China also received P-15s from the Soviet Union in the 1960s and its defence industry reverse-engineered them to establish the foundations for the subsequent development of ASCMs (Gormley, Erickson, & Yuan, 2014, pp. 9–10, 21, 27–28). Thus, the PLAN could deny VAPAN's P-15 ASCMs through electronic countermeasures due to its knowledge of the technical characteristics.

The VAPAN's amphibious and minesweeping fleets are not covered in the naval investment, and their vessels are Cold War legacies that face the challenge of age. The Vietnamese amphibious fleet is composed of three Soviet Project 771 medium landing ships (LSM), three American 511-class tank landing ships (LST), and other smaller landing craft (IISS, 2017, p. 339). Their long service duration could result in logistical challenges, and their technological performance should be examined from a strategic perspective. During the Cold War, the US transformed amphibious capability from two to three dimensions by adding helicopters, and some naval powers followed with similar types

of platforms (Jacob, 2003, pp. 1–2). However, having large landing platforms for helicopters (LPD) or other kinds of large landing vessels suits neither affordability nor the strategic parameters of Vietnam, not to mention the issue of their vulnerability to ASCMs and torpedoes. In the face of the PLAN's anti-access and area denial (A2/AD) firepower, it is unlikely for the VPAN amphibious fleet to conduct any major operation during wartime. Therefore, Project 771s from the 1960s, and LST-511s of World War II vintage, are kept mainly for transportation during peace time, for which their low mobility, lack of flight deck and weak defence do not matter (NavSource, 2014; Russian Ships Info, 2017b).

The VPAN's minesweeping fleet is slightly larger than its amphibious counterpart, comprising two Project 266 seagoing minesweepers, four Project 1265 coastal minesweepers, two Project 1258 inshore minesweepers and five Project T-361 (K-8) minesweeper boats, introduced from the late 1970s to late 1980s. In addition to potential logistical challenges, especially for Project 266s and T-316s which are out of service in Russia, their generally small displacement would signify their short durability at sea (IISS, 2017, pp. 214, 339). For instance, the full-loaded displacement of the seagoing Project 266, the largest type in the fleet, is only 560 ton with duration of seven days (Russian Ships Info, 2017c). Furthermore, they are not equipped with modern counter-mine technology, such as unmanned mine hunting systems, meaning a relatively risky exposure for Vietnamese vessels removing mines (Unmanned Systems Technology, 2013). Hanoi's ageing and limited capacity for dealing with sea mines could present Beijing with a feasible option for blockade, which will be discussed in the section on impact.

Aerial systems

Currently, Vietnam's third-generation fighters represent the most critical aspect of their airpower, along with other assets. After the end of Soviet military aid, Hanoi has struggled with used aircraft and parts from India and Eastern European countries to support its fleets of third-generation fighters, the MiG-21s and the Su-22s. Using practical means, the VPA Air Force (VPAAF) managed its capacity until newer fighters, such as the Su-30, arrived (SIPRI, 2017). However, in contrast to China's large-scaled modernisation made up of fourth-generation fighters, such as the J-10, J-11B and Su-30, assisted by AWACS and aerial refuelling aircraft, for the air forces of both the PLA (PLAAF) and the PLAN (PLANAF), Vietnam's third-generation fighters would remain inferior due to their old avionics and the lack of beyond-vision range (BVR) capability. Furthermore, MiG-21s and Su-22s are gradually being phased out by their users worldwide, with the result that logistical support in the future could also be problematic. Undeniably, there are also considerable numbers of third-generation fighters in the Chinese air forces, but their increasing numbers of fourth-generation fighters would achieve superiority over the VPAAF. Generally, the VPAAF is quantitatively disadvantaged in terms of both the third- and the fourth-generation fighters (Table 3), making air superiority regional or partial at best for them (IISS, 2017, p. 340).

Table 3. Comparison of fighters between Vietnam and China's southern theatre command.

Fighter generation	Vietnam	Guangzhou Military Region, China (combined with PLAAF and PLANAF units)
3rd	Mig-21Bis/UM: 33; Su-22M/UM: 28	J-7s: 4 regiments and 1 brigade, about 150; J-8s: 1 regiment about 24
4th	Su-27SK/UBK: 11; Su-30MK2: 36	J-11/B (Su-27): 4 regiments, about 96; J-10: 2 regiments and one brigade about 72; Su-30MKK: 1 regiment about 24

Hanoi also applies a practical approach to modernisation for its trainer and transporter aircraft. At least 22 Yak-52 and 10 L-39 trainers were introduced from Romania and the Czech Republic in the post-Cold War era to sustain the existing fleets (SIPRI, 2017). Although the manufacturers of both types of trainers have developed newer types, the Yak-52s and L-39s are still in service in their respective original countries, Russia and the Czech Republic, as well as in other countries with Soviet legacies, suggesting feasible logistical supply from external sources (Aero Vodochody, 2014; A.S. Yakolev Design Bureau, 2017; DOSAAF Russia, 2016; IISS, 2017, pp. 97, 103, 217, 340). Furthermore, with a low probability of aerial combat, technological succession would not be serious. As for ground attack, a sub role for trainers, it would also be limited due to the uncertain air superiority and the PLA's strong air defence firepower.

Vietnam's military airlift is composed of An-26 and C-295 tactical transporters, in addition to An-2 light transporters. In the early 1980s, Moscow provided Hanoi with about 50 An-26s, but only 12 An-26s are serviceable after more than three decades. Although many An-26s are still in service in various countries, including Russia, the VPAAF did not purchase used aircraft to sustain its fleet, but has started introducing three new Spanish C-295s, an advanced model of medium transporter (Antonov, 2017) (Airbus, 2017). However, restricted by the small scale of procurement, the full replacement of the An-26 with the C-295 will not be achieved in the near future (IISS, 2017, pp. 215, 217, 340; SIPRI, 2017). The An-2 light transporter, despite its long history and biplane design, is still useful today for its short-take-off-and-landing (STOL) capability, low speed and simple structure. Except for four imported from Poland, a considerable number of civilian users and China's continuous production would suggest little challenge for the VPAAF in accessing logistical supply (IISS, 2017, p. 340; Shijiazhuang Aircraft Industry Co, 2016; SIPRI, 2017). Due to the sheer gap in airpower between Hanoi and Beijing, the opportunity to use transporters to project force during wartime would be slim, though An-2s could be used to send special forces with their low speed and low altitude, as demonstrated by North Korea (Dowling, 2015).

Many of Vietnam's helicopters were Cold War legacies until a small-scale procurement in the 2000s, and certain logistical challenges are observable. During the post-Cold War era, the size of the VPAAF helicopter forces shrank from 200 to about 60, and several types, such as the Mi-6, have been phased out. The VPAN's rotary wing has suffered less, despite the withdrawal of the Ka-25 (IISS, 1992, p. 165; IISS, 2017, p. 340). The surviving models, the Ka-28, Mi-8, Mi-24 and UH-1H, continue to be built and operated by their original countries, Russia and the US, as well as other countries (Bell Helicopter, 2017; IISS, 2017, pp. 48, 56, 215–217, 339–340; Russian Helicopters, 2017). Although the US had retained its ban on arms exports to Vietnam for decades, a number of the VPAAF's serviceable UH-1Hs suggest certain channels to logistical supply, probably not so difficult because of numerous users worldwide (Panda, 2014). The Ka-28s and Mi-24s with their respective ASW and anti-tank missions would contribute to respective operations, but uncertainty around air superiority would constrain their efficiency during wartime. Although the transporter and utility helicopters, Mi-8/17 and UH-1, could deploy troops or attack ground targets, their actual function in the face of Chinese airpower and air defence firepower could be limited.

When air superiority is unachievable, the appropriate alternative for the VPAAF would be to deny Chinese airpower, and its efforts at modernisation are appropriate for this goal. From the Cold War, Hanoi has arsenals at its disposal and experience in operating SAMs to counter invasive air campaigns, but whether their legacy missiles are obsolete

or not remains unclear. Obviously, there is no precise answer while official logistical data remains unavailable, but Vietnam's acquisitions during the post-Cold War era clearly demonstrate a plan of mixed services. The Cold War legacy SAMs are S-75s (SA-2) and S-125s (SA-3) for mid-ranges, 2K12s (SA-6) for short ranges, and 9K32s (SA-7) for MANPADS. From the mid-1990s, Hanoi procured additional SAMs to follow these categories: S-300s for long ranges of more than 100 km, which were unavailable during the Cold War, upgraded S-125-2MTs and Israeli SPYDER-MRs for mid-ranges, and 9K310s and 9K38s for MANPADS (SIPRI, 2017). The mixed services with new and old models, each having individual frequencies and other electronic characteristics, would lower the risk of total jamming and other countermeasures. However, it being several decades since production, the operational readiness of the fuels, charges, and electronic components of those old SAMs would present serious challenges. Apart from missiles, both the VPA and the VPAAF possess numerous towed and self-propelled ZSU-23 flaks (IISS, 2017, p. 340). They may be less effective due to their short range and low accuracy, but can still create certain obstacles in the case of an air strike. Although a considerable portion of Vietnamese air defence assets are ageing, at least Hanoi is taking certain measures to moderate the impact of obsolescence.

Although Vietnam is likely to have access to logistical support for its aircraft, a certain frequency of air crashes in recent years, for both old and new models, may imply some logistical challenges, which might stem partially from ageing (VN Express, 2016).

The impacts of obsolescence

The VPA has been more affected than the VPAN and the VPAAF by military obsolescence, where the various impacts lead to respective strategic influences. The VPA's obsolete assets can be seen in two scenarios: border conflict and a small-scaled invasion based on the war of 1979. Although Hanoi and Beijing have clarified land borders through treaties, a landward conflict is not impossible. As the VPA's weakness is considerable, as is the capability gap between it and the PLA, land options could be militarily feasible for the Chinese decision-makers. Two kinds of armed conflicts are probable outcomes in the event of an escalation from a border confrontation.

The major factor in border conflicts is whether artillery is involved or not. When engagement is restricted to light weapons, the technological gap would be smaller between the VPA and the PLA than where artillery exchange occurs, because the firepower of both sides can reach similar ranges, and the level of destruction of most defence construction would be limited. With both sides' MBTs participating in an exchange of fire, the effective ranges of their 125, 115 and 100 mm main guns are generally similar, and the VPA tanks could have the extra protection of defensive constructions. Therefore, the VPA's military obsolescence would not be very obvious. In contrast, the significantly different ranges of the two sides' howitzers and MRLs would see the VPA having difficulty in overcoming those of the PLA, and distinct outcomes would emerge in prolonged conflict. Hanoi has the option of introducing artillery missiles, EXTRA and R-17, to compensate for its inferior ranges, but Beijing has much larger equivalent arsenals. By the same token, applying airpower would lead to the same outcome for China's stronger air forces.

Regarding a limited war where the goal might be a city close to a border or somewhere further, mobile warfare is likely and the consequent exposure of the VPA's weak armour capability. Although the rugged terrain would benefit the VPA's defence, it

would be unavoidable for the PLA to capture at least some territory or even one or more targeted cities. In that case, Vietnam's old and fragile MBTs would have difficulty serving armour spears for counterattack. In addition, their relatively short-ranged artillery would be unable to successfully support the operation, especially a complete suppression of the PLA counterpart. As air superiority is uncertain, the VPAAF may not have sufficient capacity to support the VPA through ground attack or airlift force. Apart from the danger of losing territory, the geostrategic threat on Hanoi is more serious. It is only about 123 km from Liang Son to Hanoi, and about half of the route is mountainous, as there is no significant strategic barrier in the Red River Delta (Distance From To, 2017). The coastal corridor might provide another route for invasion. As a result of the technological gaps between the PLA and VPA, Hanoi may in fact be in danger of being captured during wartime. It is clear that conquering Vietnam's capital would not be an easy decision for China because of various political costs it might suffer, including adding aggression to its reputation and possible international sanctions. However, this and other options for land warfare present Beijing with a means of exploiting the VPA's obsolescence.

The VPAN's obsolescence would also be exposed in scenarios involving sea denial and blockade by mines. Since the VPAN only has 10 Project 1241 FACs and the PLAN's threat would be multi-directional, from both north of the Tonkin Bay and east of Hainan Island, the eight Project 205 FACs would have important roles as well. The limited number of Vietnamese FACs would emphasise the survival factor and sustainability. In contrast to the Project 1241s, the weaker AK-230 CIWS and age of operational readiness would be the main concern for the Project 205s. Although the VPAN has other means for sea denial, malfunctioning or vulnerable Project 205 FACs would more or less erode the overall strategy. As mentioned before, the VPAN minesweeping vessels are small and lack modern attributes. The PLAN would therefore only need to lay mines on the Sea lines of communication (SLOCs) connecting Vietnam's major seaports to disrupt its economy. Hanoi does not have the ability to undertake a similar operation to retaliate against Beijing and would face the dilemma of either sweeping the sea mines or escalating the conflict. Without significant modernisation, sweeping the sea mines would present either an arduous task, or an impossible one, and escalation would be disadvantageous for the weaker side.

As Chinese airpower can come from both the mainland and Hainan Island, and perhaps from the newly built airbases in the South China Sea, further difficulties would arise for the VPAAF commanders for deployment of fighters to defend against multi-directional attacks, with the added factor that its less capable third-generation fighters would be more likely to aggravate the situation. Although there are considerable numbers of third-generation fighters under China's Southern Theatre Command, their numbers of fourth-generation fighters would be high enough to cover most operations against Vietnam in the initial phase. Consequently, the VPAAF's third-generation fighters would either fight in an inferior situation, with the likely outcome being one of heavy loss, or they would avoid engagement so that their fourth-generation fighters would be furthermore outnumbered. If Hanoi decides to preserve its fighters and avoid engagement, its SAMs would face serious challenges, because the PLAAF and the PLANAF would not only send their fighters but also electronic warfare (EW) aircraft to suppress air defence. Whether the old S-75, S-125 and 2K12 missile systems can achieve their designed purposes under such a high intensity situation is questionable.

Conclusion

The case of Vietnam also reveals difficulties for countries receiving military aid. It is indeed convenient to obtain free or very cheap arms from a greater power, but receiver states may not be able to establish appropriate levels of financial affordability. Although Vietnam has successfully transformed its economy and achieved high growth rates in successive years, its arsenal is still too large to comprehensively conduct proper life-cycle management of its military assets. As one-to-one replacement is beyond financial affordability for Hanoi, Vietnamese defence planners have selected crucial capabilities for investment, with the remainder becoming the victim of ageing, and thus presenting vulnerabilities which Beijing may exploit. Hanoi, therefore, faces a strategic dilemma in military acquisition: either to spare certain resources to modernise the VPA and other neglected capabilities, or to continue its concentration of investment on maritime and aerial capability to cater to the territorial disputes in the East Sea (the South China Sea). It is clearly necessary to improve land defence between the capital and the Chinese border, as well as to provide good minesweeping capability, but it is important to note that maritime and aerial combat forces are essential in denying China's sea power. Despite significant build-ups, the VPAN and the VPAAF remain inferior to their Chinese counterparts, but, in fact, better than the VPA vis-à-vis the PLA.

The case of Vietnam demonstrates an example of the extent to which the synthetic framework for analysing military obsolescence can be applied, but further development would be necessary for applying the framework to countries in different situations, such as those with high insurgency threats and those with considerable indigenous defence industries.

Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to Bernard Loo Fook Weng and Pascal Vennesson, whose suggestions on this paper have been very helpful.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

Notes on contributor

Shang-su Wu is a research fellow at the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, based at the Nanyang Technological University in Singapore.

References

- Aero Vodochody (2014, September 27). L-159 aircraft. Retrieved from <http://www.aero.cz/en/products-services/programs/l-159-aircraft/#scrollTo=obsah>
- Airbus (2017, September 27). C295 airbus. Retrieved from <https://airbusdefenceandspace.com/our-portfolio/military-aircraft/c295/>
- Antonov (2017, September 27). An-26. Retrieved from <http://www.antonov.com/aircraft/antonov-glanders-and-airplanes/an-26>
- Army Guide (2015a, September 27). Type 98. Retrieved from <http://www.army-guide.com/eng/product2387.html>

- Army Guide (2015b, September 28). PHZ89. Retrieved from <http://www.army-guide.com/eng/product4154.html>
- Army Guide (2015c, September 28). PLZ-52/Type 05/PLZ-05. Retrieved from <http://www.army-guide.com/eng/product3185.html>
- Army Recognition (2015, May 20). Vietnam has developed a 105 mm self-propelled howitzer on a ural-375D Chassis. Retrieved from http://www.armyrecognition.com/may_2015_global_defense_security_news_uk/vietnam_has_developed_a_105mm_self-propelled_howitzer_on_a_ural-375d_chassis_20051531.html
- Army Recognition (2016, April 8). Russian land forces to upgrade its 122mm 2S1 Gvozdika self-propelled guns to 2S34 Hosta. Retrieved from http://armyrecognition.com/april_2016_global_defense_security_news_industry/russian_land_forces_to_upgrade_its_122mm_2s1_gvozdika_self-propelled_guns_to_2s34_hosta_tass_30804165.html
- Army Recognition (2012, March 25). Vietnamese armed forces modernize its fleet of main battle tanks T55 to the standard T54/T55M3. Retrieved from http://www.armyrecognition.com/weapons_defence_industry_military_technology_uk/vietnamese_armed_forces_modernize_its_fleet_of_main_battle_tanks_t-55_to_standard_t_54/55m3_2503124.html
- A.S. Yakolev Design Bureau (2017, September 27). Yak-152. Retrieved from http://www.yak.ru/ENG/PROD/new_152.php
- Axe, D. (2016, September 3). American antique planes battling ISIS. *MSN News*. Retrieved from <http://www.msn.com/en-in/news/world/america%E2%80%99s-antique-planes-battling-isis/ar-AAgyK00>
- Bar-Joseph, U. (2009, April 17). The 1973 Yom Kippur war. Jewish Virtual Library. Retrieved from <https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/isdf/text/barjoseph.pdf>
- Bell Helicopter (2017, March 23). Bell Huey II. Retrieved from <http://www.bellhelicopter.com/commercial/bell-huey-ii>
- Bitzinger, R. A. (2010). A new arms race? Explaining recent Southeast Asian military acquisitions. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 32(1), 50–69.
- Buzan, B., & Herring, E. (1998). *The arms dynamic in world politics*. London: Boulder.
- The Chosunilbo (2014, October 14). Korea's anti-tank weaponry out of date. Retrieved from http://english.chosun.com/site/data/html_dir/2014/10/14/2014101401359.html
- Crevelin, M. V. (2011). *The age of airpower*. New York, NY: Public Affairs.
- Distance From To (2017, September 27). Retrieved from <http://www.distancefromto.net/distance-from-lang-son-to-hanoi-vn>
- DOSAAF Russia (2016, October 14). Томск: представительный мотокросс и первые полеты кадетов [Tomsk: Representative motocross and the first flying cadets]. Retrieved from <http://www.dosaaf.ru/novosti/6055-tomsk-predstavitelniy-i-pervie-poleti-kadetov>
- Dowling, Stephen (2015, April 16). The plane that can fly backwards. *BBC*. Retrieved from <http://www.bbc.com/future/story/20150415-the-plane-that-can-fly-backwards>
- Federation of American Scientists (1999, July 5). Type 63 107mm rocket launcher. Retrieved from <https://fas.org/man/dod-101/sys/land/row/type-63-r.htm>
- Fisher, R. D., Jr. (2016, July 12). China's Norinco develops new Type 96 MBT variant, IHS Jane's 360. Retrieved from <http://www.janes.com/article/62175/china-s-norinco-develops-new-type-96-mbt-variant>
- Foss, C. F. (2002). *Jane's tanks and combat vehicles recognition guide*. New York, NY: Harper Collins.
- Foss, C. F. (2006). *Jane's armour and artillery 2006–2007*. Surrey: Jane's Information Group.
- Global Security (2013, July 5). PHL-03 300 mm long-range rocket. Retrieved from <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/china/phl-03.htm>
- Global Security (2014a, August 17). Vietnamese People's Army (Ground Forces) modernization. Retrieved from <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/vietnam/armymodernization.htm>
- Global Security (2014b, March 16). Type 99A2 main battle tank. Retrieved from <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/china/type-99-a2.htm>
- Gormley, D. M., Erickson, A. S., & Yuan, J. (2014). *A low-visibility force multiplier: Assessing China's cruise missile ambitions*. Washington, DC: National Defense University Press.
- Gurov, S. V. (2017, September 27). BM-14. *Military Today*. Retrieved from http://www.military-today.com/artillery/bm_14.htm
- Heitman, H.-R. (2004). The impact of technology on war. In M. Edmonds, C. Lee, & G. Mills (Eds.), *Arms and security in Asia* (pp. 1–21). Johannesburg: The South African Institute of International Affairs.
- Horowitz, M. C. (2010). *The diffusion of military power*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Hull, A. W. (1999). *Soviet/Russian armour and artillery design practices: 1945 to present*. Darlington, MD: Darlington.
- International Institute for Strategic Studies (1979). *Military balance 1979*. London: Author.
- International Institute for Strategic Studies (1992). *Military balance 1992*. London: Author.
- International Institute for Strategic Studies (2017). *Military balance 2017*. London: Author.
- Ireland, B., & Grove, E. (1997). *Jane's war at sea 1897–1997*. London: Harper Collins.
- Jacob, W. (2003). *Amphibious operations in the 21st century*. Carlisle, PA: Carlisle Barracks, U.S. Army War College.
- James, A. D. (2016). Emerging technologies and military capability. In R. A. Bitzinger (Ed.), *Emerging critical technologies and security in the Asia-Pacific 2016* (pp. 6–21). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kindard, J. (2007). *Artillery: An illustrated history of its impact*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO.
- Koch, F. (1999). *Russian tanks and armored vehicles 1946–Present*. Atglen, PA: Schiffer.
- Markowski, S., Hall, P., & Wylie, R. (2010). Procurement and the chain of supply. In S. Markowski, P. Hall, & R. Wylie (Eds), *Defence procurement and industry policy* (pp. 11–44). London: Routledge.
- Mazzetti, M., & Shanker, T. (2006, July 19). Arms Hezbollah reveals U.S. and Israeli blind spot. *New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2006/07/19/world/middleeast/19missile.html>
- Military Today (2017a, September 27). Type 99 main battle tank. Retrieved from http://www.military-today.com/tanks/type_99.htm
- Military Today (2017b, September 27). PLL-09 122-mm self-propelled howitzer. Retrieved from http://www.military-today.com/artillery/pll_09.htm
- Moulton, J. (2009, September 2). Rethinking IED strategies: From Iraq to Afghanistan. *U.S. Army*. Retrieved from <https://www.army.mil/article/26877/>
- MTU (2017, April 17). Series 71. Retrieved from <http://www.mtu-online.com/mtu/products/detroit-diesel-2-cycle/series-71/>.
- Naval Technology (2017, September 27). Project 11661 Gepard class Frigates, Russia. Retrieved from <http://www.naval-technology.com/projects/gepard-class>
- NavSource (2014, March 14). USS LST-511. Retrieved from <http://www.navsource.org/archives/10/16/160511.htm>
- Nudelman Precision Engineering Design Bureau (2017, September 27). Sosna: Air Defense Missile System (ADMS). Retrieved from http://kbtotchmash.com/press-eng/articles-eng/articles-eng_4.html
- Panda, A. (2014, October 3). United States lifts Vietnam arms embargo. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved from <http://thediplomat.com/2014/10/united-states-lifts-vietnam-arms-embargo-with-a-catch/>
- PHC, Zelenodolsk Plant named after A.M. Gorky (2017, September 27). Frigate of 11661 project “Gepard-3.9”. Retrieved from <http://www.zdship.ru/eproducts/shipbuilding/special-purpose-vessels/460/>
- Phillips, M. M. (2011, October 1). Launching the missile that made history. *The Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052970204138204576598851109446780>
- RT News. (2016, October 25). ISIS takes out Iraqi Abrams with anti-tank missile. *RT News*. Retrieved from <https://www.rt.com/news/363990-kornet-abrams-mosul-video/>
- Russian Helicopters (2017, September 27). Helicopters. *Russian Helicopters*. Retrieved from <http://www.russianhelicopters.aero/en/helicopters/>
- Russian Ships Info (2017a, September 27). Guard ships project 159. Retrieved from http://russianships.info/eng/warships/project_159.htm
- Russian Ships Info (2017b, September 27). Medium landing ships project 771. Retrieved from http://russianships.info/eng/warships/project_771.htm
- Russian Ships Info (2017c, September 27). All Russian minesweepers. Retrieved from http://russianships.info/eng/warships/index_minesweepers.htm
- Shijiazhuang Aircraft Industry Co (2016, September 28). Shipped five B multi-purpose aircraft. Retrieved from <http://www.avicsaic.com/goods/Goods/info/id/17>
- Sputnik (2016, October 18). Russia's T-90 tank interests Vietnam because it's “More Modern” than rivals. *Sputnik*. Retrieved from <https://sputniknews.com/military/201610181046465882-vietnam-russia-t90-tank/>
- Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (2017, September 27). SIPRI arms transfer database. Retrieved from <http://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers/armstransfers>
- Tan, A. T. H. (2014). *The arms race in Asia: Trends, causes and implications*. London: Routledge.
- Thayer, C. A. (2009). *Vietnam People's Army: Development and modernization*. Bandar Seri Begawan: Sultan Haji Bolkiah Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies.
- Thomas, R. G. C. (1986). *Indian security policy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Turner, J. (2002). *Tracked firepower*. St. Paul, MN: Brown Partworks.
- Uberoi, J. P. S. (1989, November 18). Technology of obsolescence. *Economic and Political Weekly*, p. 2543.
- Unmanned Systems Technology (2013, February 4). U.S Navy to introduce unmanned mine-hunting surface systems in 2017. Retrieved from <http://www.unmannedsystemstechnology.com/2013/02/u-s-navy-to-introduce-unmanned-mine-hunting-surface-systems-in-2017/>
- VN Express (2016, August 26). Military aircraft crash kills 1 in central Vietnam: Official. Retrieved from <http://e.vnexpress.net/news/news/militaryaircraftcrashkills1incentralvietnamofficial3458663.html>
- Ware, P. (2014). *The illustrated guide to military vehicles*. London: Hermes House.